

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.undb.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,127	07/30/2001	William J. Curatolo	PC10754AJTJ	1383
75	90 07/15/2003			
Gregg C. Benson			EXAMINER	
Pfizer Inc. Patent Department MS 4159, Eastern Point Road Groton, CT 06340			FUBARA, BLESSING M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, and the second second			1615	15
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>۔۔۔۔</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
-		09/918,127	CURATOLO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Blessing M. Fubara	1615
Period fo		nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE   - Exte after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (c) period for reply is specified above, the maximum size to reply within the set or extended period for reply	s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>25 <i>April 2003</i></u> .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi		n for allowance except for formal mat ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the	application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-16 and</u>	d 19-34 is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-10,17,18 and 35-96</u> is/ar	re rejected.	
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,	
- <u>-</u>	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.	
	on Papers	•	
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by th	he Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any ob	pjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is: a)  approved b) di	isapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·	
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in Ap	pplication No
· * \$	application from the Interr	of the priority documents have been national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies not i	•
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim t	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
		nguage provisional application has be for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	
Attachmen		· •	
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) - 5) ☐ Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tr TO-326 (Re		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner acknowledges receipt of IDS, request for extension of time and amendment B filed 04/25/03.

Examiner withdraws the indication that claims would be allowable if the limitations of claims 51 or 52 are incorporated in the generic claims because the prior art, Sikorski teaches a composition that comprises CETP inhibitor and hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 49-55 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 49 and 50 recite the term "cellulosic."

Regarding claims 49 and 50, the term "cellulosic" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "cellulosic"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Applicants argue that the term "cellulosic" is definite and that Marriam –Webster's Collegiate Dictionary defines the term. Although, the term is defined, "cellulosic" imparts cellulose-type and the cellulose materials/polymer encompassed by the term cannot be ascertained. It is respectfully suggested that the affected claims recite cellulose polymer.

Art Unit: 1615

3. Claims 89-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 89-96 are vague and indefinite because they depend from claim 100, which does not exist. These claims are not examined since the claim they depend from is non-existent.

Correction is required

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-10, 17, 18, 35-51, 56-86 and 88 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sikorski (WO 99/14204 cited by applicants in the disclosure and on the IDS filed 07/30/01).

Sikorski teaches a composition comprising cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) inhibitor (page 4, line 30 to page 12 and line 19) and one or more non-toxic pharmaceutically acceptable carriers (page 80, line 4). On page 84, lines 27-29, Sikorski teach that CETP inhibitors are formulated as dispersions in hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose. Solutions and suspensions of the formulation can be prepared from sterile powders (page 84, lines 32 and 33). The active compound, which is the cholesteryl ester transfer protein inhibitor can be combined with one or more adjuvants and cellulose alkyl esters and polyvinylpyrrolidone are examples (page 84, lines 16-32). The formulation of Sikorski can be administered orally, intravascularly, intraperitoneally, subcutaneously, intramuscularly, topically (page 80, lines 11-14) and also to the eye (page 84, lines 8-15). The compounds of Sikorski, the CETP's, are useful for human

Art Unit: 1615

treatment, veterinary treatment, exotic and farm animal treatment (mammals, rodents, horses, dogs and cats) and the CETP's are useful in the treatment of dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, atherosclerosis and coronary artery diseases (page 6, lines 2-20). Sikorski also discloses how to measure CETP activity *in Vitro* (page 71, line 14 to page 72 line 21) and inhibition of CETP activity is also tested *in Vivo* (page 72, line 23 to page 74 line 13).

Since pharmaceutical formulation of the CETP's can be in the form of tablet, capsule, suspension or liquid, the gastrointestinal tract is a use environment. Instant claims 35-48 and 56-73 recite the properties of the pharmaceutical composition and how the composition is made instant claim 86 is not critical in a composition claim.

Sikorski meets the limitations of the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 52-55 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sikorski (WO 99/14204).

Sikorski clearly teaches the teaches the composition of the instant claims except that
Sikorski does not teach the concentration enhancing polymers recited in claims 52-55.

Regarding claim 87 spray drying technique for the preparation of the formulation is recited, it is
respectfully submitted that spray drying is one of the processes of forming tablets. It would
have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to
prepare the composition of Sikorski that comprises CETP and hydroxypropymethyl cellulose.

Art Unit: 1615

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to substitute one concentration-

Page 5

enhancing polymer with another with the expectation that the concentration of the CETP will be

enhanced.

8. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular

communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara

Patent Examiner

T 1 0 1 1000

Tech. Center 1600

July 11, 2003